

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>			1. CONTRACT I D CODE		PAGE OF PAGES	
					1	2
2. AMENDMENT/MOD NO. 02		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 24 November 2008		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 4200198991		5. PROJECT NO
NASA Ames Research Center ATTN: Brenda Hess, M/S 241-1 Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000		CODE		7. ADMINISTERED BY		CODE
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No. Street, County, State and ZIP Code)  TO ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS				(9)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO	
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 10/28/08	
				(10)	10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER No	
CODE		FACILITY CODE		<input type="checkbox"/>	10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS						
<p>The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers IS NOT extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:</p> <p>(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning one (1) copy of the amendment;  (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or  (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.</p>						
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (if required)						
Financial Management						
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.						
<input type="checkbox"/>	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).					
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
IMPORTANT: Contractor (is or is not) required to sign this document and return ____ copies to the issuing office.						
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)						
SEE PAGE 2						
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.						
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR				16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)				(Signature Of Contracting Officer)		
NSN 7540-01-152-8070 PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE						
30-105						
STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83) ES Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243						

1. This amendment is issued to
  - a. Clarify the fact that the spreadsheet posted on 28 October 2008, without an accompanying SF30, to correct Attachment J.1(b)3, represents Amendment 01 to the solicitation.
  - b. Correct the first paragraph of M.2(b)(1) to read as follows:

Mission Suitability Factor. The Mission Suitability factor indicates, for each Offeror, the merit or excellence of the work to be performed and the ability of the Offeror to accomplish what is offered, or the product to be delivered. The overall Mission Suitability Factor will be numerically scored, and the Mission Suitability Subfactors will be rated by adjective and numerically weighted and scored in accordance with NFS 1815.305(a)(3), "Technical Evaluation," and the following table:
  - c. Correct the first paragraph of M.2(c) to read as follows:

**Mission Suitability Factor (Volume I).** The Offeror's Mission Suitability proposal will be evaluated based on the Offeror's ability to fulfill the technical requirements while meeting quality, schedule, and safety requirements and the Offeror's management and business approaches. The compatibility between the proposed technical and management approaches, and the overall resources proposed to accomplish the work will be an important consideration in the evaluation of this factor. In addition, proposal risk will be evaluated with respect to cost, performance, technical approach, and management approach. The overall Mission Suitability Factor will be numerically scored, and the Mission Suitability Subfactors will be rated by adjective and numerically weighted and scored. Information submitted in Volume I of the proposal that is not relevant to the Mission Suitability factor will not be evaluated, except that if the SEC determines that a proposal does not adequately demonstrate that the offeror will be able to perform the work with the resources proposed, the SEC may determine this to be a mission suitability weakness as well as require an adjustment for probable cost. This integration between mission suitability findings and probable cost adjustments is critical to accomplishing cost realism.
  - d. Correct M.3(b) to read as follows:

(b) The overall Mission Suitability factor will be numerically scored, and the Mission Suitability subfactors will be numerically weighted and scored in accordance with the numerical system established below. The other factors (i.e., Past Performance and Cost/Price) are not similarly weighted or scored. Past Performance is assigned a level of confidence rating. Cost/Price will be evaluated for realism, a probable cost adjustment will be made if appropriate, and a confidence level rating will be assigned. The Source Selection Authority's (SSA) decision shall be based on a comparative assessment of proposals pursuant to source selection criteria prescribed in this solicitation. While the SSA may use reports and analyses prepared by others, the source selection decision shall represent the SSA's independent judgment.
2. All other requirements remain unchanged.